

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/657,506	DE STASI, FRANK	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Linh M. Nguyen	2816	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) Linh M. Nguyen.

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Mark Hennings.

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 September 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:


1, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The interview was held to discuss corrections to the claim language in order to clarify the claimed invention regarding antecedent basis and consistencies of the terms used in claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, and 19, which resulted in an examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)